

Department of Natural Resources

MICHAEL R. STYLER
Executive Director

Division of Oil, Gas & Mining

JOHN R. BAZA
Division Director

JON M. HUNTSMAN, JR. Governor

GARY R. HERBERT Lieutenant Governor

May 11, 2005

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT 7002 0510 0003 8602 8802

Michael Glasson, Environmental Coordinator Andalex Resources, Inc. 6750 Airport Road P.O. Box 902 Price, Utah 84501

Subject: <u>Proposed Assessment for State Violation No. N05-49-1-1, Andalex</u> Resources, Inc., Centennial Mine, C/007/0019, Outgoing File

Dear Mr. Glasson:

The undersigned has been appointed by the Division of Oil, Gas & Mining as the Assessment Officer for assessing penalties under R645-401.

Enclosed is the proposed civil penalty assessment for the above referenced violation. The violation was issued by Division Inspector, Karl R. Houskeeper, on April 22, 2005. Rule R645-401-600 et. seq. has been utilized to formulate the proposed penalty. By these rules, any written information that was submitted by you or your agent within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this Notice of Violation has been considered in determining the facts surrounding the violation and the amount of penalty.

Under R645-401-700, there are two informal appeal options available to you:

- 1. If you wish to informally appeal the <u>fact of this violation</u>, you should file a written request for an Informal Conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. This conference will be conducted by the Division Director. This Informal Conference is distinct from the Assessment Conference regarding the proposed penalty.
- 2. If you wish to review the proposed penalty assessment, you should file a written request for an Assessment Conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. If you are also requesting a review of the fact of violation, as noted in paragraph 1, the Assessment Conference will be scheduled immediately following that review.

Page 2 Mike Glasson May 11, 2005

If a timely request for review is not made, the fact of violation will stand, the proposed penalty will become final, and the penalty will be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the proposed assessment. Please remit payment to the Division, mail c/o Vickie Southwick.

Sincerely,

D. Wayne Hedberg Assessment Officer

Enclosure

cc: OSM Compliance Report Vickie Southwick, DOGM

Price Field Office

 $O: \label{lem:condition} O: \label{lem:condi$

WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES DIVISION OF OIL, GAS & MINING

		MINE <u>N05-49</u> -	Andalex Resources, Ir -1-1	nc. – Centennial Mine	PERMIT <u>C/007/0019</u> VIOLATION <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>		
ASSE	SSMEN	IT DAT	TE <u>May 4, 2005</u>	_			
ASSE	SSMEN	T OFF	ICER <u>D. Wayne Hed</u>	berg			
I.	HISTORY (Max. 25 pts.)						
	A. Are there previous violations, which are not pending or vacated, which fall one (1) year of today's date?						
	PREV	IOUS V	/IOLATIONS	EFFECTIVE DATE	POINTS		
	1 point for each past violation, up to one (1) year 5 points for each past violation in a CO, up to one (1) year No pending notices shall be counted TOTAL HISTORY POINTS 0						
II.	SERI	OUSNE	ESS (Either A or B)				
II.	SERIO NOTE			nts in Parts II and III, t	the following apply:		
II.			For assignment of poi	ŕ	Assessment Officer will		
П.):	For assignment of poi Based on facts supplied determine within each Beginning at the mid-	ed by the inspector, the category where the vi	Assessment Officer will		
П.		1. 2.	For assignment of poi Based on facts supplied determine within each Beginning at the mid- adjust the points up of	ed by the inspector, the category where the vi-	e Assessment Officer will olation falls. The Assessment Officer will spector's and operator's		
II.		1. 2. Is this	For assignment of points and adjust the points up of statements as guiding	ed by the inspector, the category where the viscopoint of the category, to down, utilizing the in documents. IDRANCE (B) violation	Assessment Officer will olation falls. the Assessment Officer will spector's and operator's		

standard was designed to prevent?

What is the probability of the occurrence of the event which a violated

2.

<u>PROBABILITY</u>	<u>RANGE</u>
None	0
Unlikely	1-9
Likely	10-19
Occurred	20

ASSIGN PROBABILITY OF OCCURRENCE POINTS <u>0</u>

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

***Not Applicable

3. What is the extent of actual or potential damage?

RANGE 0-25

In assigning points, consider the duration and extent of said damage or impact, in terms of area and impact on the public or environment.

ASSIGN DAMAGE POINTS 0

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

***Not Applicable

- B. HINDRANCE VIOLATION (Max 25 pts.)
 - 1. Is this a POTENTIAL or ACTUAL hindrance to enforcement? _____15 RANGE 0-25

Assign points based on the extent to which enforcement is actually or potentially hindered by the violation.

ASSIGN HINDRANCE POINTS 15

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

***The Permittee failed to collect 4th quarter water quality samples from sites AC-1, B263, and Stock Pond 31-1. These are new sites that were added to the Permittee's monitoring program in October 2004. Therefore, this information was not entered into the Division's electronic water database system. This action prevented/hindered the Division's assigned hydrologist from reviewing the information in a timely manner.

TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS (A or B) 15

III. <u>NEGLIGENCE</u> (Max 30 pts.)

A. Was this an inadvertent violation which was unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care? IF SO--NO NEGLIGENCE; or, was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference lack of diligence, or

lack of reasonable care, or the failure to abate any violation due to the same? IF SO--GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT THAN NEGLIGENCE.

No Negligence 0
Negligence 1-15
Greater Degree of Fault 16-30

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE Negligence

ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS 15

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

***The Permittee knew that these sites were part of their monitoring program. It was their responsibility to insure that water quality samples were collected from these sites during their 4th quarter sampling.

IV. GOOD FAITH (Max 20 pts.)

(Either A or B)

(Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures)

A. Did the operator have onsite, the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area?

IF SO--EASY ABATEMENT

Easy Abatement Situation

C Immediate Compliance -11 to -20*

(Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)

C Rapid Compliance -1 to -10

(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)

C Normal Compliance

(Operator complied within the abatement period required) (Operator complied with condition and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

B. Did the permittee not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance, or does the situation require the submission of plans prior to physical activity to achieve compliance?

IF SO--DIFFICULT ABATEMENT

Difficult Abatement Situation

C Rapid Compliance -11 to -20*
(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)

^{*}Assign in upper of lower half of range depending on abatement occurring the 1st or 2nd half of abatement period.

C Normal Compliance

-1 to -10*

(Operator complied within the abatement period required)

C Extended Compliance

0

(Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay within the limits of the NOV or the violated standard of the plan submitted for abatement was incomplete) (Permittee complied with conditions and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

EASY OR DIFFICULT ABATEMENT? Not Applicable

ASSIGN GOOD FAITH POINTS <u>0</u>

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

***There was no abatement period assigned under this NOV. The operator could not go back and collect the water samples months after the fact. The Permittee has committed to monitor and sample these 3 sites in the future as required under their approved water-monitoring plan.

V. <u>ASSESSMENT SUMMARY</u>

NOT	ICE OF VIOLATION # <u>N 05-49-1-1</u>	
I.	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS	0
II.	TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS	<u>15</u>
III.	TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS	<u>15</u>
IV.	TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS	0
	TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS	30
	TOTAL ASSESSED FINE	¢ 1100
	<u>\$ 1100</u>	

3.10 T. CT. CT. A. T. CT. A. T. A. T